

Southern Campaigns American Revolution Pension Statements & Rosters

South Carolina Audited Accounts¹ relating to John Huston SC4034 pp18

Audited Account No. 3786

Transcribed and annotated by Will Graves

10/17/22

[Methodology: Spelling, punctuation and/or grammar have been corrected in some instances for ease of reading and to facilitate searches of the database. Where the meaning is not compromised by adhering to the spelling, punctuation or grammar, no change has been made. Corrections or additional notes have been inserted within brackets or footnotes. Blanks appearing in the transcripts reflect blanks in the original. A bracketed question mark indicates that the word or words preceding it represent(s) a guess by me. The word 'illegible' or 'indecipherable' or 'undeciphered' appearing in brackets indicates that at the time I made the transcription, I was unable to decipher the word or phrase in question. Only materials pertinent to the military service of the veteran and to contemporary events have been transcribed. Affidavits that provide additional information on these events are included and genealogical information is abstracted, while standard, 'boilerplate' affidavits and attestations related solely to the application, and later nineteenth and twentieth century research requests for information have been omitted. I use speech recognition software to make all my transcriptions. Such software misinterprets my southern accent with unfortunate regularity and my poor proofreading skills fail to catch all misinterpretations. Also, dates or numbers which the software treats as numerals rather than words are not corrected: for example, the software transcribes "the eighth of June one thousand eighty six" as "the 8th of June 1786." Please call material errors or omissions to my attention. Researchers should not rely solely on the transcripts but should review the originals for themselves. These transcripts are intended as an aid to research, not to be used in lieu thereof.]

[p 16]

[No. 74 Book T June 22, 1785]

Mr. John Huston his account of Militia duty as private before and since the reduction of Charlestown [Charleston South Carolina fell to the British on May 12, 1780] amounting to

[old South Carolina] Currency £ [illegible as posted online]

Stg. [Sterling] £85.4.3 ¼

Ex^d. T. W. [Examined by T. W. [identity unknown]]

J. M^c. A.G. [approved by] John McCall, Adjutant General]

[p 17]

State of South Carolina

John Huston

Dr. [Debtor]

Duty per Col. Anderson's [Robert Anderson's] return [not extant] Currency

£ [illegible]

Stg. £85.4.3 ¼

Received in full Satisfaction for the above in an Indent No. 74 Lib. T by virtue of an order for John Huston

£85.4.3 ¼

S/ James [illegible]

[p 18]

Gentlemen

Please to deliver my Indent & together with the interest Due to James [illegible] and his Receipt Shall Be your Discharge [illegible], and you will very Much Oblige

Gentlemen your Humble Sert.

S/ John Huston

¹ The South Carolina Audited Accounts (AAs) are now available online at <http://www.archivesindex.sc.gov/>. To find the AA for a specific person, click on the [Just take me to the search page](#) link, then enter the person's surname first in the "Full name" box followed by a comma and the person's Christian name. The "SC" file number shown on this transcript has been assigned by the administrator of this website for indexing purposes only and is not an officially recognized file number. The number behind the 'pp' indicates the number of pages in the file and the 'Audited Account No.' is the actual Account Number assigned by the South Carolina Archives.

Witness

S/ Rich'd Winn JP

To Messrs Black & Boqueat [sic]
Treasurers of South Carolina

[Note: Bobby Gilmer Moss in his *SC Patriots* attributes this indent to [John Houston W3817](#) but I believe it is not related to that veteran. I base my conclusion on the dissimilarity of the signatures of the 2 veterans. John Houston's (W3817) signature appears as follows:

. To my eye, that bears no similarity to the signature of John Huston as set forth above the points of dissimilarity are so remarkable that I cannot imagine the same man's signature would have been so transformed even with the passage of time between the dates of the 2 signatures. Also, the two men, if they are indeed separate individuals, spelled their surnames differently.]